metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Qiaohua Wei, Gangqiang Yin and Zhongning Chen*

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: czngroup@ms.fjirsm.ac.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.013 Å R factor = 0.066 wR factor = 0.131 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis[μ -methylenebis(diphenylphosphine)- $\kappa^2 P: P'$]disilver(I) diperchlorate acetonitrile disolvate

The dication in the title compound, $[Ag_2(\mu_2\text{-}dppm)_2]$ - $(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2CH_3CN$, where dppm is bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (C₂₅H₂₂P₂), is situated across an inversion centre. Each Ag atom is two-coordinate and exists in an approximately linear geometry. An Ag····N contact of 2.874 (10) Å is observed in the structure.

Comment

Binuclear complexes of silver with certain bidentate ligands are of great interest due to their rich luminescence and bonding properties. Several compounds of binuclear $[Ag_2(\mu_2-dppm)_2]^{2+}$ dications, counterbalanced by various anionic species, have been reported previously (Ahrens & Jones, 1998; Lusser & Peringer, 1985; Ho & Bau, 1983). Here we report the crystal structure of the title compound, $[Ag_2(\mu_2-dppm)_2]$ -(ClO₄)₂·2CH₃CN, (I).



The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of one-half of an $[Ag_2(\mu_2\text{-dppm})_2]^{2+}$ dication, situated across a crystallographic inversion centre, one independent ClO₄⁻ anion and an acetonitrile solvent molecule. The structure of the dication with atomic numbering scheme is shown in Fig. 1. In the dication, the Ag atoms are doubly bridged by two dppm ligands, forming an eight-membered $Ag_2P_4C_2$ ring. The formation of an $M_2P_4C_2$ ring (M = Cu, Ag, Au, Pt and Pd) has been reported previously (Ho & Bau, 1983; Neo et al., 1995; Van der Ploeg et al., 1979; Yam et al., 1997). The eightmembered ring displays a pseudo-chair conformation, as observed in $[Ag_2(\mu_2-dppm)_2](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$ (Ahrens & Jones, 1998). In the ring, the Ag centers are two-coordinate, exhibiting an approximately linear coordination geometry, with a P1-Ag1-P2¹ [symmetry code: (i) -x, 1 - y, -z] angle of 174.95 (7)°. The intramolecular Ag···Ag contact is 3.0581 (12) Å, which is longer than that observed in other silver systems; 2.9532 (7) Å in $[Ag_2(\mu_2 - dppm)_2](ClO_4)_2$. $2CH_2Cl_2$ (Ahrens & Jones, 1998) and 2.987 (2) Å in $[Ag_2(\mu_2$ $dppm_{3}$](NO₃)₂·2H₂O (Hong *et al.*, 1997). The Ag-P distances of 2.408 (2) and 2.410 (2) Å are comparable to those observed in the above two complexes.

Received 5 March 2003 Accepted 31 March 2003 Online 9 April 2003

 \odot 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





The structure of the dication of (I), showing the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms have been omitted.

The Ag1···N1 contact distance of 2.874 (10) Å is shorter than that observed [3.160(6) Å] in $[\text{Ag}_3[\text{HC}(\text{PPh}_2)_3]_2]$ - $(ClO_4)_3 \cdot 2CH_3CN$ (Che *et al.*, 1992) but longer than that observed [2.552 (2) Å] in $[Ag_3(dppm)_2(CH_3CN)_2]$ - $(ClO_4)_2 \cdot (CH_3CH_2)_2 O$ (Che *et al.*, 1991). The Ag···O contact distances in (I) $[Ag1 \cdots O12 = 3.071 (7) \text{ Å and } Ag1 \cdots O12^{i} =$ 3.367 (6) Å] lie in the range 2.959 (3)–3.514 (2) Å reported for $[Ag_2(\mu_2\text{-dppm})_2](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$ (Ahrens & Jones, 1998) but lie outside the range 2.418 (5)-2.689 (6) Å reported for $[Ag_2(dppm)_2(NO_3)_2]$ (Ho & Bau, 1983).

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by a modification of a literature procedure (Lusser & Peringer, 1985). The complex was obtained by the reaction between $[Cu_2(\mu_2-dppm)_2](ClO_4)_2$ and AgCCC(CH₃)₃ in a 1:2 ratio in acetonitrile solution under anaerobic conditions for 24 h. Well formed colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements were grown by the slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution at room temperature.

Crystal data

$[Ag_2(C_{25}H_{22}P_4)_2](ClO_2)_2 \cdot 2C_2H_3N$	$D_x = 1.538 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 1265.48$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 2900
a = 11.4553 (3) Å	reflections
b = 15.1766 (1) Å	$\theta = 2.3 - 25.0^{\circ}$
c = 16.0597 (5) Å	$\mu = 0.99 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 101.826 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 2732.76 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colorless
Z = 2	$0.32 \times 0.30 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer	4806 independent reflections 2920 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.060$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -13 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.723, T_{\max} = 0.759$	$k = -18 \rightarrow 15$
9485 measured reflections	$l = -14 \rightarrow 19$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0246P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.066$	+ 7.4956P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.131$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.14	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
4806 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.63 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
326 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.53 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ag1-P1	2.4081 (18)	P1-C21	1.806 (7)
Ag1-P2 ⁱ	2.4097 (18)	P1-C11	1.820 (7)
$Ag1 \cdots Ag1^{i}$	3.0581 (12)	P1-C20	1.836 (6)
P1-Ag1-P2 ⁱ	174.95 (7)	C11-P1-C20	105.4 (3)
$P1 - Ag1 \cdot \cdot \cdot Ag1^{i}$	90.22 (5)	C21-P1-Ag1	117.1 (2)
$P2^{i} - Ag1 \cdots Ag1^{i}$	89.07 (5)	C11-P1-Ag1	112.8 (2)
C21-P1-C11	102.0 (3)	C20-P1-Ag1	112.3 (2)
C21-P1-C20	106.1 (3)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, 1 - y, -z

The H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H bond lengths were fixed in the range 0.93-0.97 Å), assigned isotropic displacement parameters and allowed to ride on their respective parent C atoms.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1994); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the NSF of China (No. 20171044).

References

- Ahrens, B. & Jones, P. G. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 16-18.
- Che, C. M., Yip, H. K., Li, D., Peng, Sh. M., Lee, G. H., Wang, Y. M. & Liu, Sh. T. (1991). Chem. Commun. 22, 1615-1617.
- Che, C. M., Yip, H. K., Yam, V. W. W., Cheuang, P. Y., Lai, T. F., Shieh, Sh. J. & Peng, Sh. M. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 427-433.
- Ho, D. M. & Bau, R. (1983). Inorg. Chem. 22, 4073-4079.
- Hong, M. C., Wu, D. X. & Liu, H. Q. (1997). Polyhedron, 16, 1957-1962.
- Lusser, M. & Peringer, P. (1985). Polyhedron, 4, 1997-1999.
- Neo, S. P., Zhou, Z. Y., Mak, T. C. W. & Hor, T. S. A. (1995). Inorg. Chem. 34, 520-523

Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Siemens (1994). SAINT and SHELXTL. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Siemens (1996). SMART. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Van der Ploeg, A. F. M. J., Van Koten, G. & Spek, A. L. (1979). Inorg. Chem. 18, 1052-1053.
- Yam, V. W. W., Fung, W. K. M. & Cheng, K. K. (1997). Organometallics, 16, 2032-2037.